Attorney Docket No. PSA0313163

REMARKS

By the present amendment, claims 11-20 have been canceled.

Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application. Claim 1 is the only independent claim.

I. Double patenting rejection

In the Office Action, claims I-6 and 1I-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine

of obviousness-type double-patenting over claims 1 and 3-7 of U.S. Patent No. 7370632 issued

May 13, 2008.

A Terminal Disclaimer is submitted with this paper. Accordingly, it is submitted that the

rejection is moot.

II. Lack of written description rejection

Next, in the Office Action, claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph,

as lacking written description.

Claims 11-20 have been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer. Accordingly, it is

submitted that the rejection is moot.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the invention as presently claimed is patentable. It is believed that the claims

are in allowable condition and a notice to that effect is earnestly requested.

In the event there is, in the Examiner's opinion, any outstanding issue and such issue may

be resolved by means of a telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact

the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Page 5 of 6

Amendment

U.S. Appl. No.: 10/595,633

Attorney Docket No. PSA0313163

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicants hereby petition for an appropriate extension of the response period. Please charge the fee for such extension and any other fees which may be required to our Deposit Account No. <u>502759</u>.

Respectfully submitted,

/nicolas seckel/

Nicolas E. Seckel Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 44,373

Nicolas E. Seckel Patent Attorney 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Tel: 202-669-5169 Fax: 202-822-1257 Customer No.: 29980